

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/542,437	03/24/2006	Hartwig Preckel	175.8246USU	2752	
27623 OHLANDT C	7590 11/29/201 REELEY, RUGGIERO	EXAM	EXAMINER		
ONE LANDM	ARK SQUARE, 10TH	LUDLOW, JAN M			
STAMFORD,	CT 06901		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1773			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/29/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/542,437	PRECKEL, HARTWIG				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jan M. Ludlow	1773				

	Jan M. Ludlow	1773							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED 17 November 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.									
1. So The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CF4 1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:									
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.								
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding enternal of a fine file. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set fort in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL									
	ience with 37 CER 41 37 must be t	iled within two months	of the date of						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).									
AMENDMENTS									
 ∑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ∑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 									
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			ne issues for						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c		ected claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1: 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12			DTOL 204)						
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
Mewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		imals filed amandmar	t concelled the						
non-allowable claim(s).	owabie ii subiliilled iii a separale, i	intely filed afficilities	it canceling the						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an e	xplanation of						
Claim(s) objected to:									
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1.2 and 5-19</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 									
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER									
The request for reconsideration has been considered but Arguments are directed to the unenetered amendment.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:						
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☐ Other:									
	/Jan M. Ludlow/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1773							

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment narrows the claim and therefore presents a new issue.